# Corporate take-over of policy-making is prioritising tree plantations over community forest restoration Oliver Munnion, Biofuelwatch & GFC









#### BUT, sadly, these fantastic projects recieve little support.

#### Why?

- There is a real problem of corporate capture of policy making in the forest and climate change sector
- Increasing emphasis on private climate finance and PPPs
- Public institutions become dependent on corporate finance and will prioritise commercially attractive projects like bioenergy plants and monoculture tree plantations
- Corporations then lobby for subsidies for their bioenergy and tree plantation businesses
- Governments will be hesitant to refuse as they depend on private finance themselves

# Climate Finance for tree plantations

- Brazil: Commercial reforestation of the Cerrado, 18,000ha commercial teak plantations (FIP)
- Ghana: Public-private partnerships for the restoration of "degraded forest reserves" through commercial teak plantations (FIP)
- **Ivory Coast:** Project involves 100,000ha of tree plantations, public-private partnerships (FIP)
- Mozambique: "Emissions reductions" through planting of 200,000ha of eucalyptus plantations for pulp and paper with global pulp and paper company (FIP)
- Paraguay: 23,000ha exotic tree plantations for soy sector (GCF)
- **Uganda:** 12,000ha commercial pine plantations, carbon credits sold to Swedish Energy Agency (CDM/FSC)

### **Paraguay**

"Exotic" tree plantations to produce bioenergy for the soy sector, one of the main drivers of deforestation and greenhouse gas emissions in the country





### Uganda

- Forced evictions
- Loss of access to grazing & food security
- Pesticide pollution





#### In 2017...

64% of "reforestation" permits were for eucalyptus, Only 13% for native oaks

More eucalyptus planted than in any other year:

- 18 million Euros from Portuguese Government to increase productivity of eucalyptus plantations, alongside a
- 125 million investment from the private sector
- And 9 million from the EU "rural development program"

Also... 24 million Euros made available for planting with native species, but **ZERO** spent





# Subsidies and support for bioenergy: Incentivising plantations & forest destruction









# Geoengineering with BECCS...

#### C-Capture BECCS pilot at Drax Power Station









#### PROCESS:

- 1 Drax biomass flue gases injected into absorber containing C-Capture's solvent
- 2 The solvent 'collects' the CO₂ and is transferred to the next phase of the process
- 3 Heat is used to separate the C-Capture solvent from the CO<sub>2</sub>
- (4) CO<sub>2</sub> is stored and utilised, whilst the C-Capture solvent is re-used



# **BECCS** feedstock: tree plantations?




Bioenergy has by far the largest land footprint of all forms of energy.

**AND** 

Estimates of "residues and wastes" availability hugely optimistic.

Tim Searchinger: Burning all genuine logging residues in the USA for energy could meet just 1% of the country's energy demand – & deplete soils of nutrients & carbon.



From: Energy Sprawl or Energy Efficiency: Climate policy impacts on natural habitats for the United States of America, Robert I McDonald et al

# Is large-scale BECCS likely? No!

But policy support for it will...

Legitimise dirty forms of bioenergy

Result in a significant gearing-up of new industrial tree plantations

... And already, Climate Finance is being directed towards commercial tree plantations

# There are other ways of removing carbon from the atmosphere!

- let forests and soils to keep doing it
- end deforestation
- ecosystem & forest restoration
- community management and conservation

## But, the approach must be:

- rights-based
- led from the bottom-up
- free from private-sector capture

Thank you!